

FIRST DAY

MORNING SESSION

Senate Chamber, Olympia, Thursday, November 7, 2013

In accordance with Gubernatorial Proclamation issued pursuant to Article III, Section VII of the State Constitution and state law, the Senate of the 2013 Third Special Session of the Sixty-Third Legislature assembled in the Senate Chamber at the State Capitol. The Senate was called to order at 9:00 o'clock a.m. by the President Pro Tempore, Senator Tim Sheldon presiding. The Secretary called the roll and announced to the President Pro Tempore that all members were present with the exception of Senators Bailey, Baumgartner, Becker, Benton, Billig, Braun, Brown, Chase, Cleveland, Conway, Dammeier, Darneille, Ericksen, Hargrove, Harper, Hasegawa, Hewitt, Hill, Hobbs, Holmquist Newbry, Honeyford, Keiser, King, Kline, Kohl-Welles, Litzow, McAuliffe, Mullet, Murray, Nelson, O'Ban, Padden, Parlette, Pearson, Ranker, Rivers, Roach, Rolfes, Schlicher, Schoesler, Shin and Smith.

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, the Senate advanced to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM GOVERNOR

PROCLAMATION BY THE GOVERNOR
13-09

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article II, Section 12 (Amendment 68) of the Washington State Constitution, the Legislature adjourned its 2013 regular session on April 28, 2013, the 105th day of the session; and

WHEREAS, the legislature reconvened on May 13, 2013, to continue work on the 2013-2015 operating budget, 2013-2015 capital budget, 2013-2015 transportation budget, and related bills, but failed to approve such measures; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature again reconvened on June 12, 2013, and approved a 2013-2015 operating budget, a 2013-2015 capital budget, a 2013-2015 transportation budget, and the bills necessary to implement those budgets, and adjourned on June 30, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the development and siting of new aerospace projects within the state of Washington is of vital importance to the Washington aerospace industry, the state's economy as a whole, and would result in jobs for working Washingtonians; and

WHEREAS, the Speaker of the House, House Minority Leader, Senate Majority Coalition Caucus Leader, Senate Democratic Leader, and Senate Republican Leader working together with the Governor may agree upon additional matters that are necessary for the Legislature to address;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Jay Inslee, Governor of the state of Washington, by virtue of the authority vested in me by Article II, Section 12 (Amendment 68) and Article III, Section 7 of the Washington State Constitution, do hereby convene the Washington State Legislature in Special Session in the Capitol at Olympia on Thursday, November 7, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. for the purpose of enacting legislation necessary to ensure that new aerospace projects are located in Washington State, including legislation relating to workforce education and development, permitting requirements, tax policy, and critical transportation infrastructure development.

Signed and sealed with the official seal of the state of Washington this 5th day of November, A. D. Two-thousand and Thirteen at Olympia, Washington.

Seal

JAY INSLEE,
Governor of Washington

MESSAGE FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE

May 23, 2013

The Honorable Brad Owen
Lieutenant Governor of Washington
Legislative Building
Olympia, Washington 98504

Dear Lieutenant Governor Owen:

I respectfully transmit for your consideration Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5024 which has been partially vetoed by the Governor, along with his objection to the bill, as required by Article III, section 12, of the Washington State Constitution.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have signed and affixed the Seal of the state of Washington, this 23rd day of May, 2013.

KIM WYMAN, Secretary of State
(Seal)

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR
PARTIAL VETO ON ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE
BILL 5024

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Sections 201(3); 209(10); 216(5); 218(2); 306(7); 306(22); 313(4); 313(5); 602; 903; page 139, lines 23-25; 903(1); 904, page 151, lines 7-9; 904(1); 906, page 154, lines 8-10; and 906(1), Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5024 entitled:

“AN ACT Relating to transportation funding and appropriations.”

Section 201(3), page 7, Washington Traffic Safety Commission, Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor Program

This proviso requires the traffic safety prosecutor program to be moved from the Washington State Patrol to the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys. Before making this change, a thorough analysis of the advantages and disadvantages should be done. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 201(3) and instructed the Washington Traffic Safety Commission to investigate this proposal.

Section 209(10), page 20, Department of Transportation, Annual Independent Audits

The Fiscal Year 2013 annual independent audit of State Route 520 is currently under way and will be completed in Fiscal Year 2014. This proviso would require the audit to be completed through an interagency agreement between the Department of

Transportation and Office of Financial Management. This change would duplicate work and delay completion of the audit required in the State Route 520 master bond resolution. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 209(10).

Section 216(5), page 26, Department of Transportation, Guide Signs to the City of Kenmore and Other Destinations

Traffic control signing, including guide signs to destinations, should be done in a cooperative manner between the Department of Transportation and the requestor to ensure that safety and motorist needs are met. State and federal regulations and policy are in place to guide this process. This proviso, therefore, is unnecessary. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 216(5) and directed the Department to work with the City of Kenmore to resolve their traffic control signing issues.

Section 218(2), page 29-30, Department of Transportation, Study on Restricting Use of Steel on Guardrail Posts

Section 218(2) directs the Department of Transportation to contract with an independent research organization to study wood guardrails; however, no funding is provided for the study. Moreover, the Department evaluated the use of wood guardrails as recently as 2009 and is currently conducting a study concerning guardrail materials. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 218(2).

Section 306(7), pages 41-42, Interstate 5/Columbia River Crossing

Section 306(7) directs the expenditure of \$81 million, including federal funds, for the Columbia River Crossing project. I see no wisdom in expending these funds if the state of Washington does not contribute adequate funding necessary to complete the project. This section would result in the expenditure of \$81 million to no result. If there are no other funds appropriated, the bridge project cannot move forward because federal funding will disappear. In addition, this section would prohibit expenditure of federal funding that is necessary to build the bridge. If the Coast Guard permit is not issued, there is no need for the waste of \$81 million.

Section 306(22), page 47, Department of Transportation, Report on Public or Private Entity Mitigation

Section 306(22) requires the Department of Transportation to report to the chairs of the Senate and House transportation committees whenever it is in negotiations to provide a public or private entity mitigation for \$10 million or more. While I support the interest in transparency and accountability when negotiating public funds, non-disclosure agreements may require the Department to maintain confidentiality during certain negotiations. Therefore, I have vetoed Section 306(22) and directed the Department to develop a process to report on mitigation agreements exceeding \$10 million.

Section 313(4), page 57, Department of Transportation, Quarterly Reporting Requirements

This proviso requires the Department of Transportation to report quarterly on change order details that include the name of the contractor, dollar value of the change order, and explanation of the change order. No funding was provided for either the system or human resource efforts this would require given that there is no dollar threshold for reporting change orders. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 313(4).

Section 313(5), page 57, Department of Transportation, Quarterly Reporting Requirements

This proviso requires the Department of Transportation to report quarterly on all mitigation payments, including the party with whom the mitigation was negotiated, as well as the parties with whom the Department is in ongoing negotiations. No funding was provided for either the system or human resource efforts this would require given that there is no dollar threshold for reporting mitigation payments. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 313(5).

Section 602, page 74, Department of Transportation, Transitioning Passenger Vehicles to DES Motor Pool

This section directs the Department of Transportation to begin transitioning its passenger vehicles to the Department of Enterprise Services motor pool and prohibits the purchase of new passenger vehicles with appropriations in this act by programs headquartered in Thurston County. However, it is not clear whether the Department of Transportation's specialty service trucks are passenger vehicles as defined in RCW 46.04.382. I believe these vehicles, such as light trucks used by maintenance workers, should remain with the Department of Transportation. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 602 and directed the Department of Transportation to work with the Department of Enterprise Services to transition its vehicles to the motor pool where practicable and where efficiencies can be created.

Section 903, page 139, lines 23-25, and Section 903(1), page 140, Transportation Partnership Account-State Appropriation, Improvements Program

Due to unforeseen changes in the timing of expenditures for highway improvement projects and the lack of flexibility in the capital program budgets, this appropriation change would result in an estimated shortfall of approximately \$30 million in expenditure authority in the highway improvement program. The Department of Transportation must keep projects within the total spending plan; however, retaining the supplemental budget's original Transportation Partnership Account-State appropriation will provide flexibility in the timing of expenditures as the state transitions from one biennium to the next during the peak construction period. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 903, page 139, lines 23-25, and Section 903(1).

Section 904, page 151, lines 7-9, and Section 904(1), page 151, Transportation Partnership Account-State Appropriation, Preservation Program

Due to unforeseen changes in the timing of expenditures for highway preservation projects and the lack of flexibility in the capital program budgets, this appropriation change would result in an estimated shortfall of approximately \$23 million in expenditure authority in the highway preservation program. The Department of Transportation must keep projects within the total spending plan; however, retaining the supplemental budget's original Transportation Partnership Account-State appropriation will provide flexibility in the timing of expenditures as the state transitions from one biennium to the next during the peak construction period. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 904, page 151, lines 7-9, and Section 904(1).

Section 906, page 154, lines 8-10, and Section 906(1), page 154, Transportation 2003 Account (Nickel Account)-State Appropriation, Washington State Ferries Construction Program

Due to unforeseen changes in the timing of expenditures for ferry capital construction projects and the lack of flexibility in the capital program budgets, this appropriation change would result

FIRST DAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2013

2013 3RD SPECIAL SESSION

in an estimated shortfall of approximately \$7 million in expenditure authority in the ferry capital program.

The Department of Transportation must keep projects within the total spending plan; however, retaining the supplemental budget's original Transportation 2003 Account (Nickel Account)-State appropriation will provide flexibility in the timing of expenditures as the state transitions from one biennium to the next during the peak construction period. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 906, page 154, lines 8-10, and Section 906(1).

For these reasons I have vetoed Sections 201(3); 209(10); 216(5); 218(2); 306(7); 306(22); 313(4); 313(5); 602; 903, page 139, lines 23-25; 903(1); 904, page 151, lines 7-9; 904(1); 906, page 154, lines 8-10; and 906(1) of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5024.

With the exception of Sections 201(3); 209(10); 216(5); 218(2); 306(7); 306(22); 313(4); 313(5); 602; 903, page 139, lines 23-25; 903(1); 904, page 151, lines 7-9; 904(1); 906, page 154, lines 8-10; and 906(1), Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5024 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Jay Inslee, Governor

MESSAGE FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE

July 1, 2013

The Honorable Brad Owen
Lieutenant Governor of Washington
Legislative Building
Olympia, Washington 98504

Dear Lieutenant Governor Owen:

We respectfully transmit for your consideration Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5946 and Third Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5034 which have been partially vetoed by the Governor along with his objection to the bills, as required by Article III, section 12, of the Washington State Constitution.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have signed and affixed the Seal of the state of Washington, this 1st day of July, 2013.

KIM WYMAN, Secretary of State
(Seal)

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR

PARTIAL VETO ON THIRD ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE
SENATE BILL 5034

June 30, 2013

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Sections 103(10); 103(11); 114(3); 124(2); 124(3); 124(4); 124(5); 130(5); 148(4); 150, page 37, lines 33-36 and page 38, lines 1-7; 205(1)(e); 208(7); 213(35); 213(36); 217(5); 219(25); 302(8); 307(15); 501(1)(a)(v); 610(1); 610(2); and 610(8), Third Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5034 entitled:

“AN ACT Relating to fiscal matters.”

Section 103(10), page 6, Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee, Study of State Agency Performance Indicators and Performance Measurement Process

This proviso directs the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee to study the effectiveness of state agency performance indicators and performance measurement processes established in Chapter 43.88 RCW, the state Budget and Accounting Act. My administration is already conducting a thorough and rigorous review of state agency performance indicators and measurements through our Results Washington initiative. I appreciate the Legislature's interest in performance management, but this review would be unnecessarily duplicative. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 103(10).

Section 103(11), page 6, Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee, Study of Electricity Cost Impacts from Renewable Energy Standards

This proviso directs the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee to assess the cost impacts of the state's renewable electricity standards without also evaluating the economic and environmental benefits of renewable energy. The study is unnecessary, as there are cost controls built into the standards. In addition, improvements to the Energy Independence Act will also be considered through the ongoing efforts of the Climate Legislative and Executive Workgroup created in Chapter 6, Laws 2013 (E2SSB 5802). For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 103(11).

Section 114(3), page 9, Administrator for the Courts, Office of Chief Information Officer Approval of Judicial Technology Expenditures

This proviso requires the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) to work with the Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) to analyze the feasibility of moving judicial branch information technology equipment into the state data center. AOC is willing to undertake this analysis, in conjunction with the OCIO, as requested. However, the proviso also prohibits AOC from expending funds appropriated for an information network hub project and computer equipment replacement unless approved by the OCIO. This limitation on AOC's appropriation authority is not necessary given AOC's commitment to work cooperatively with the OCIO. I am willing to revisit this issue, however, should the necessary analysis not proceed in a timely and efficient manner. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 114(3).

Sections 124(2), 124(3), 124(4), 124(5), pages 16-17, State Auditor, Audit and Evaluation Requests

The State Auditor's is requested by the Legislature to conduct various audits and evaluations on actuarial functions, managed care systems, federal compliance and fraud activity, and inmate intake and reception processes. The budget reduces the State Auditor's ability to conduct performance audits by diverting nearly \$10 million from the Performance Audits of Government Account to funds to other activities, including \$5.6 million of funding for the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee (JLARC). Initiative 900 created the Performance Audits of Government Account to fund comprehensive performance audits independently chosen by the State Auditor. Therefore, the State Auditor should select the audits he will perform within his limited funds. Legislatively directed audits should be performed by

JLARC. For these reasons, I have vetoed Sections 124(2), 124(3), 124(4), 125(5).

Section 130(5), page 28, Office of Financial Management, One-Stop Portal Monitoring

This proviso requires the Office of the Chief Information Officer to submit a plan to establish performance benchmarks and measuring results of implementing a one-stop integrated system for business interactions with government. A similar reporting requirement is contained in Substitute Senate Bill 5718, which passed the Legislature, making this proviso unnecessary. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 130(5).

Section 148(4), page 36, Department of Enterprise Services, Building Code Council Aspirational Codes

This proviso prohibits the State Building Code Council from working on aspirational codes, which are voluntary codes that offer builders options to demonstrate new energy efficiency measures that are economically and technically feasible. Energy efficiency is the cheapest, quickest, and cleanest way to meet rising energy needs, confront climate change, and boost our economy. Therefore, I believe the Building Code Council should continue this work for the benefit of our state's taxpayers. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 148(4). Moreover, while I have not vetoed subsection (3) of this section, the proviso attempts to amend substantive law through the budget by "modifying" the Council's statutory authority and by restricting member compensation as allowed under RCW 19.27.070. Therefore, this improper proviso does not restrict the appropriation authority or activities of the Building Code Council.

Section 150, page 37, lines 33-36 and page 38, lines 1-7, Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Agency Survey and Inventory Processes

The Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation is directed to report to the Legislature by December 1, 2013, and a second report by December 1, 2014, regarding the agency's survey and inventory processes. No funding was provided to compile the necessary data, which is not readily available to the Department, to complete these reports. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 150, page 37, lines 33-36 and page 38, lines 1-7. However, I am directing the Department to work with interested stakeholders to provide useful and available information about the survey and inventory processes within existing resources.

Section 205(1)(e), page 61, Department of Social and Health Services, Rate Disparity Report

The Department of Social and Health Services is directed to report to the Legislature by December 31, 2013, with a strategy to reduce the rate disparity between urban and suburban residential service providers. No funding was provided to the Department and it does not currently collect the data necessary to complete the report. For this reason I have vetoed Section 205(1)(e).

Section 208(7), page 73, Department of Social and Health Services, Chemical Dependency Treatment Study

This proviso requires the Department of Social and Health Services to contract with the Washington State Institute for Public Policy (WSIPP) to study the long-term efficacy of the chemical dependency treatment program. Under Chapter 338, Laws of 2013 (2SSB 5732), WSIPP will develop an inventory of evidence-based and research-based prevention and intervention services for the Department to use in preparing a behavioral health improvement strategy. Additionally, no funding is

provided to the Department to contract for this study. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 208(7).

Section 213(35), page 88, Health Care Authority, Rebates for Brand Name Drugs

This proviso requires the Health Care Authority to purchase brand name drugs when it determines the cost of the brand name drug after rebate is less than the cost of generic alternatives and that the purchase of the brand rather than generic version can save at least \$250,000. The state has made a concerted effort to reduce pharmaceutical drug costs through increasing generic drug use when clinically appropriate. This requirement is administratively burdensome to implement and will likely result in increased costs rather than savings. For these reasons I have vetoed Section 213(35).

Section 213(36), page 88, Health Care Authority, Preferred Drug List Exclusions

This proviso prohibits the Health Care Authority from including specific drugs in the Medicaid preferred drug list for the fee-for-service population. This proviso is in direct conflict with the state's goal of ensuring that our expenditures on services, devices, and medications provide the greatest health benefit for employees and clients. Excluding classes of drugs from evidence-based medicine is inconsistent with improving health care quality and reducing costs. For this reason I have vetoed Section 213(36).

Section 217(5), page 96, Department of Labor and Industries; Section 219(25), page 105, Department of Health; Section 302(8), pages 119-120, Department of Ecology; Formal Review Process Existing Rules

These provisos require the Department of Labor and Industries, Health, and Ecology to establish and perform a formal review process of its existing rules within existing funds. A similar reporting requirement is included in SSB 5679, which passed the Legislature, making these provisos unnecessary. For this reason, I have vetoed Sections 217(5), 219(25), and 302(8).

Section 307(15), pages 126-127, Department of Fish and Wildlife; Payments in Lieu of Taxes Methodology

The Department of Fish and Wildlife is directed to develop and submit a revised payment methodology for certain counties that receive payments in lieu of taxes (PILT) for game lands managed by the Department. The revised methodology is directed to provide supplemental payments to these counties. I believe a comprehensive review of PILT for game lands should be conducted without any predetermined outcome. Therefore, I am directing the Department of Revenue to work with the Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Office of Financial Management to examine the current PILT methodologies, as well as methodologies used by other states and the federal government, to develop by December 1, 2013, options and recommendations to revise the PILT program. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 307(15).

Section 501(1)(a)(v), page 136, Superintendent of Public Instruction

This proviso requires the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction to review career and technical education and skill center formulas by October 1, 2013. The due date does not provide enough time for staff to accomplish the task. The Superintendent has expressed a commitment to completing the review by June 1, 2014. For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 501(1)(a)(v).

FIRST DAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2013

2013 3RD SPECIAL SESSION

Section 610(1), page 190, The Evergreen State College, Extraordinary Foster Care Cost Study

This proviso directs the Washington State Institute for Public Policy to examine the extraordinary costs of individual foster care children to identify whether the cost of placements is consistent across similarly acute children. The Children's Administration of the Department of Social and Health Services routinely evaluates high cost placements and services but must make decisions based on the unique needs of each child. A study is not necessary at this time. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 610(1).

Section 610(2), page 190, The Evergreen State College, Safety Assessment Tool Study

This proviso directs the Washington State Institute for Public Policy to conduct an empirical study of the validity and reliability of the safety assessment tool used by the Children's Administration of the Department of Social and Health Services. The Department is currently evaluating the assessment tool as it implements the family assessment response system required by Chapter 259, Laws 2012 (ESSB 6555). A study at this time would be premature when the Department has not yet determined whether the assessment tool will continue to be used, modified or maintained. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 610(2).

Section 610(8), page 191-192, The Evergreen State College, K-12 Funding Task Force

This proviso establishes an eleven member task force on K-12 funding, to be staffed by the Washington State Institute for Public Policy. The task force is to examine and provide options on the following topics: salary allocation methodologies, career and technical education, and the appropriate use of state and local property taxes to finance public schools. Within the past three years, legislatively authorized working groups have conducted thorough reviews of compensation, career and technical education, and use of local levies. Another task force is duplicative of proposals from recent workgroups. For this reason, I have vetoed Section 610(8).

For these reasons I have vetoed Sections 103(10); 103(11); 114(3); 124(2); 124(3); 124(4); 124(5); 130(5); 148(4); 150, page 37, lines 33-36 and page 38, lines 1-7; 205(1)(e); 208(7); 213(35); 213(36); 217(5); 219(25); 302(8); 307(15); 501(1)(a)(v); 610(1); 610(2); and 610(8) of Third Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5034.

With the exception of Sections 103(10); 103(11); 114(3); 124(2); 124(3); 124(4); 124(5); 130(5); 148(4); 150, page 37, lines 33-36 and page 38, lines 1-7; 205(1)(e); 208(7); 213(35); 213(36); 217(5); 219(25); 302(8); 307(15); 501(1)(a)(v); 610(1); 610(2); and 610(8), Third Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5034 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Jay Inslee, Governor

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR
PARTIAL VETO ON ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE
BILL 5946

June 30, 2013

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Sections 304 and 515, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5946 entitled:

“AN ACT Relating to strengthening student educational outcomes.”

This legislation includes reforms to improve student achievement, which includes strategies to address early elementary school literacy, strengthening the learning assistance programs, reforming the approach to long-term student suspensions, and clarifications regarding the alternative learning experience program.

Section 304 is an intent section that discusses various experiences of schools and students, and is not necessary to interpret or implement the substantive provisions of the bill. For this reason, I have vetoed section 304.

Section 515 requires the Office of Financial Management by November 1, 2013, to complete a study, in consultation with various stakeholders, to create a proposal for efficiently and sustainably funding alternative learning experience courses and to recommend steps to increase the focus of educational outcomes. Given the short timeline for completion, the Office would need to contract for the work, and no funding was provided to the Office to conduct the study. For these reasons, I have vetoed section 515.

For these reasons I have vetoed Sections 304 and 515 of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5946.

With the exception of Sections 304 and 515, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5946 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Jay Inslee, Governor

MESSAGE FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE

July 2, 2013

The Honorable Brad Owen
Lieutenant Governor of Washington
Legislative Building
Olympia, Washington 98504

Dear Lieutenant Governor Owen:

We respectfully transmit for your consideration Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5035 which has been partially vetoed by the Governor, along with his objection to the bill, as required by Article III, section 12, of the Washington State Constitution.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have signed and affixed the Seal of the state of Washington, this 2nd day of July, 2013.

(Seal) KIM WYMAN, Secretary of State

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR
PARTIAL VETO ON ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE
BILL 5035

July 1, 2013

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Section 5020(3), Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5035 entitled:

“AN ACT Relating to the capital budget.”

Section 5020(3), pages 166-168, Superintendent of Public Instruction, 2013-15 School Construction Assistance Program – Maintenance

This proviso directs the Superintendent of Public Instruction to create an interagency agreement with The Evergreen State College for a study by the Washington State Institute of Public Policy. The purpose of the study is to analyze the relationship between school design and student performance and to develop recommendations for the school construction assistance program. The institute is further directed to create an advisory group to assist in the development of these recommendations. I believe this study is overly broad and an unnecessary expense. The current system of evaluating school construction projects adequately addresses school capital needs. Moreover, the 2013-2015 capital budget fully funds the state’s school construction assistance program.

For this reason, I have vetoed Section 5020(3) of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5035.

With the exception of Section 5020(3), Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5035 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Jay Inslee, Governor

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5024, Third Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5034, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5035 and Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5946, together with their respective gubernatorial partial veto messages, were held at the desk.

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, the Senate advanced to the fourth order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

November 7, 2013

MR. PRESIDENT:

The House has adopted:

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4413,
and the same is herewith transmitted.

BARBARA BAKER, Chief Clerk

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, the Senate advanced to the fifth order of business.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF HOUSE BILLS

HCR 4413 by Representatives Sullivan and Kretz

Specifying the status of bills, resolutions, and memorials.

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, under suspension of the rules House Concurrent Resolution No. 4413 was placed on the second reading calendar.

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, the Senate advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4413, by Representatives Sullivan and Kretz

Specifying the status of bills, resolutions, and memorials.

The measure was read the second time.

MOTION

On motion of Senator Fain, the rules were suspended, House Concurrent Resolution No. 4413 was advanced to third reading, the second reading considered the third and the resolution was placed on final passage.

The President Pro Tempore declared the question before the Senate to be the adoption of House Concurrent Resolution No. 4413.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4413 having received a majority was adopted by voice vote.

MOTION

At 9:22 a.m., on motion of Senator Fain, the Senate adjourned until 9:30 a.m. Friday, November 8, 2013.

BRAD OWEN, President of the Senate

HUNTER GOODMAN, Secretary of the Senate

4413
 Adopted6
 Introduction & 1st Reading6
 Messages6
 Other Action6
 Second Reading6
 5024-S
 Other Action6
 5034-S
 Other Action6
 5035-S
 Other Action6

5946-S
 Other Action6
MESSAGE FROM GOVERNOR
 Partial Veto, 3ESSB 5034.....3
 Partial Veto, ESSB 5024.....1
 Partial Veto, ESSB 5035.....5
 Partial Veto, ESSB 5946.....5
 PROCLAMATION.....1
MESSAGE FROM SECRETARY OF STATE
 Partial Veto, 3ESSB 5034 & ESSB 5946.....3
 Partial Veto, ESSB 5024.....1
 Partial Veto, ESSB 5035.....5